

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/813,096	03/31/2004	Ying Yu Kuo	88910-32US0	5658
22594 7590 02/18/2911 DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE, LLP/Seattle 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 2200			EXAMINER	
			ZUBAJLO, JENNIFER L	
SEATTLE, WA	A 98101-3045		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/18/2011	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

seapatentdocket@dwt.com

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/813,096	KUO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JENNIFER ZUBAJLO	2629	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS.

WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
- after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
- earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status	

- Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 August 2010.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
  - 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

## Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-4.6 and 18-27 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-4.6 and 18-27 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.

Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).

Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).

11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
  - a) All b) Some \* c) None of:
    - Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
    - Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.
    - 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
  - \* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- Notice of Eraftsperson's Patent Drawing Seview (PTC-942)
- Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
  - Paper No(s)/Mail Date

- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) Other:

Art Unit: 2629

### DETAILED ACTION

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claims 1-4,6,18-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martin Meckesheimer (Pub. No.: US 2005/0162396 A1).

As to claim 1, Meckesheimer teaches a method performed by a wireless data input device, comprising a wireless receiving device and a wireless transmitting device, wherein the wireless transmitting device (see fig. 1A – transmitter 11), and comprises a microcontroller (see [0049] "a controller may be coupled to the transmitter for generating the signal 50"), the method comprising: generating an identification code at the microcontroller without reading the identification code from any memory, the identification code identifying the wireless transmitting device (see [0027] – "the identification code 15 may have a generic device descriptive identity, a user specified identity, a unique identity, or any other type of identity known to a skilled person in the art" and [0049] "a controller may be coupled to the transmitter for generating the signal 50, wherein the signal 50 comprises one or more identification codes"); sending at least one packet from the wireless transmitting device to the wireless receiving device

Art Unit: 2629

wherein the at least one packet comprises the identification code generated by the microcontroller (see [0049]); receiving at least the at least one packet at the wireless receiving device (see fig. 1A – receiver 13); for each packet received by the wireless receiving device, determining whether the received packet comprises the identification code (see [0029] "the electronic updateable static display a customer specific message when a portion of the received signal matches the identification code 15 of the placard 10" - note that it would be obvious to determine if the received packet comprises the identification code since the identification code transmitted must match the identification code of the placard in order to display the message); if the received packet comprises the identification code, recognizing the received packet as having been transmitted by the wireless transmitting device (see [0029]); and if the received packet does not comprise the identification code, ignoring the received packet (see [0029] – note that it would be obvious because if the id code does not match that of the placard then no message would be displayed and therefore ignored if there is no id code).

As to claim 23, Meckesheimer teaches a wireless system comprising: a computing device; a wireless receiving device connected to the computing device (see fig. 1A – receiver 13), and configured to receive data packets wirelessly, the wireless receiving device being further configured to communicate at least a portion of the data in the received data packets to the computing device (see [0029]); and a wireless transmitting device comprising a microcontroller (see fig 1A and [0049] "a controller may be coupled to the transmitter for generating the signal 50"), configured to generate an

Art Unit: 2629

identification code without having first read the identification code from any memory, the identification code identifying the wireless transmitting device (see [0027] - "the identification code 15 may have a generic device descriptive identity, a user specified identity, a unique identity, or any other type of identity known to a skilled person in the art" and [0049] "a controller may be coupled to the transmitter for generating the signal 50, wherein the signal 50 comprises one or more identification codes"), wherein when the wireless transmitting device is operated, the wireless transmitting device transmits user input data to the wireless receiving device in one or more data packets also comprising the identification code, the computing device is further operable to recognize data packets received by the wireless receiving device comprising the identification code as having been transmitted by the wireless transmitting device (see [0029] "the electronic updateable static display a customer specific message when a portion of the received signal matches the identification code 15 of the placard 10" - note that it would be obvious to determine if the received packet comprises the identification code since the identification code transmitted must match the identification code of the placard in order to display the message), and the computing device is further operable to ignore data packets received by the wireless receiving device not comprising the identification code (see [0029] - note that it would be obvious because if the id code does not match that of the placard then no message would be displayed and therefore ignored if there is no id code).

Art Unit: 2629

As to claim 2, Meckesheimer teaches the method of claim 1 (see above rejection), further comprising: connecting the wireless receiving device to a computer having a display device; and if the received packet comprises the identification code, displaying a message on the display device indicating that the wireless receiving device is receiving normally (see [0029]).

As to claim 3, Meckesheimer teaches the method of claim 1 (see above rejection), wherein the wireless transmitting device is a user operated portion of one of a wireless mouse, a wireless keyboard, a wireless joy stick, or a wireless pointing device (note Examiner is taking Official notice that it is well known in the art for wireless transmitting devices to be one of a wireless mouse, a wireless keyboard, a wireless joy stick, or a wireless pointing device)..

As to claim 4, Meckesheimer teaches the method of claim 1 (see above rejection), wherein the wireless receiving device is a wireless receiver for one of a wireless mouse, a wireless keyboard, a wireless joy stick, or a wireless pointing device (note since Examiner is taking Official notice that it is well known in the art for wireless transmitting devices to be one of a wireless mouse, a wireless keyboard, a wireless joy stick, or a wireless pointing device, it would then follow that the receiving device is a receiver of one of the transmitting devices).

Art Unit: 2629

As to claim 6, Meckesheimer teaches the method of claim 1 (see above rejection), wherein the wireless receiving device comprises a non-volatile memory, and the method further comprises: storing the identification code in the non-volatile memory of the wireless receiving device (see [0027] and fig. 1A – memory 18, receiver 13, and ID code 15 – note that Examiner is taking Official Notice that it is common and well known in the art for a wireless receiving device to have non-volatile memory for storing data).

As to claim 18, Meckesheimer teaches the method of claim 6 (see above rejection), wherein determining whether the received packet comprises the identification code comprises: obtaining the identification code of the received packet; reading the identification code from the non-volatile memory; comparing the identification code of the received packet to the identification code read from the non-volatile memory; and determining the received packet comprises the identification code when the identification code of the received packet is identical to the identification code read from the non-volatile memory of the wireless receiving device (see [0029] "the electronic updateable static display a customer specific message when a portion of the received signal matches the identification code 15 of the placard 10" - note that it would be obvious to determine if the received packet comprises the identification code since the identification code transmitted must match the identification code of the placard in order to display the message).

Art Unit: 2629

As to claim 19, Meckesheimer teaches the method of claim 18 (see above rejection), further comprising: when the wireless transmitting device is first set up, sending an initial packet from the wireless transmitting device to the wireless receiving device, wherein the initial packet comprises the identification code (see fig. 1A and [0027] - note that it is obvious for this transmission of id codes would be performed when set up occurs).

As to claim 20, Meckesheimer teaches the method of claim 19 (see above rejection), wherein the sending of the initial packet from the wireless transmitting device is triggered by inserting batteries into the wireless transmitting device (see [0030] – "the power source 17 may be capable of augmenting the capacitor 14 to supply the necessary power for updating, changing, clearing, or resetting the display" and "the power source 17 may be a battery").

As to claim 21, Meckesheimer teaches the method of claim 1 (see above rejection), wherein the received packet comprises device displacement information, and the method further comprises: if the received packet comprises the identification code, processing the device displacement information contained in the received packet (see [0029] – note that when the id codes match, the message is displayed and it would be obvious that any other information transmitted would be processed if the id codes matched).

Art Unit: 2629

As to claim 22, Meckesheimer teaches the method of claim 1 (see above rejection), wherein the received packet comprises key press information, and the method further comprises: if the received packet comprises the identification code, processing the key press information contained in the received packet (see [0029] – note that when the id codes match, the message is displayed and it would be obvious that any other information transmitted would be processed if the id codes matched).

As to claim 24, Meckesheimer teaches the wireless system of claim 23 (see above rejection), wherein the computing device determines the wireless receiving device is operating normally when the wireless receiving device receives a first packet comprising the identification code generated by the microcontroller (see fig 1A and [0029] note that if the information is displayed, this is an indication that the wireless receiving device is operating normally).

As to claim 25, Meckesheimer teaches the wireless system of claim 24 (see above rejection), wherein the computing device comprises a display and is further operable to display a message indicating whether the wireless receiving device is operating normally (see fig 1A and [0029] note that if the information is displayed, this is an indication that the wireless receiving device is operating normally).

As to claim 26, Meckesheimer teaches the wireless system of claim 24 (see above rejection), wherein when the wireless transmitting device is first set up for use,

Art Unit: 2629

the wireless transmitting device transmits an initial packet to the wireless receiving device comprising the identification code (see fig. 1A and [0027] - note that it is obvious for this transmission of id codes would be performed when set up occurs).

As to claim 27, Meckesheimer teaches the wireless system of claim 23 (see above rejection), wherein the wireless transmitting device is a user operated portion of one of a wireless mouse, a wireless keyboard, a wireless joy stick, or a wireless pointing device, and the wireless receiving device is a wireless receiver for the one of the wireless mouse, the wireless keyboard, the wireless joy stick, or the wireless pointing device (note Examiner is taking Official notice that it is well known in the art for wireless transmitting devices to be one of a wireless mouse, a wireless keyboard, a wireless joy stick, or a wireless pointing device and it would then follow that the receiving device is a receiver of one of the transmitting devices).

## Response to Arguments

- Applicant's arguments filed 8/26/11 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. The petition for late claim to priority from Taiwan, republic of China, Application No. 092120321 was granted, however, an English language translation of a non-English language foreign application is required to overcome the date of a reference relied upon by the examiner (see 37 C.F.R § 1.55 (a) (4)). Therefore the previous art rejecton is maintained

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/813,096

Art Unit: 2629

### Conclusion

 The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Patent No.: US 5,854,621; Pub. No.: US 2005/0200594 A1 and US 2003/0160767 A1.

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JENNIFER ZUBAJLO whose telephone number is (571)270-1551. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8 am - 5 pm, EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amare Mengistu can be reached on (571) 272-7674. The fax phone

Art Unit: 2629

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jennifer Zubajlo/ Examiner, Art Unit 2629 2/11/11

/Richard Hjerpe/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629